

# Oral Presentation Symposium Judging Rubric

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Category	1 (Needs Improvement)	2 (Satisfactory)	3 (Good)	4 (Excellent)	Score
<b>Content</b>	Poorly designed; does not seem to understand topic very well; weak support	Somewhat unclear on topic; concepts loosely connected	Shows a good understanding of the topic. Content is adequate. Support for the presentation is somewhat general.	Shows full understanding of topic Strong content; Shows clear support for study/research	
<b>Format/ Organization</b>	Presentation is disjointed, does not flow. No apparent logical order of presentation is present. Audience does not understand presentation	Concepts and ideas are loosely connected, lacks clear transitions. Audience has difficulty following because student jumps around.	Most of the expected areas are presented and in a logical manner. Audience understands presentation.	Layout is sequential and is completely understandable to the audience.	
<b>Originality/ Creativity</b>	Not original/creative. Repetitive with little/no variety.	Material presented with little originality, variation, or interpretation.	Good original thinking/creativity; some variety & blending of materials & media.	Excellent original thinking/creative innovation/technique. Captures audience attention.	
<b>Presentation</b>	Appeared uninterested and monotone. Little to no use of communication aids. Poorly prepared.	Nervous tension apparent. Little to no eye contact. Adequate, could be improved. Communication aids not connected to presentation.	Consistent use of eye contact. Voice clear. Minor mistakes but recoverable. Struggled mildly responding to questions.	Professional and strongly effective. Positive and enthusiastic about project. Able to respond to question well.	
<b>Total</b>	<b>The Graduate School Will Total Scores</b>				

Comments:

---



---



---

Judges Signature: \_\_\_\_\_