

3MT Judging Rubric



Name: _____

Program: _____

Scoring System

1 - Need Improvement

2 - Good

3 - Excellent

Comprehension and Content

Score

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background and significance to the research question being addressed, while explaining terminology and avoiding jargon? | |
| 2. Did the presentation clearly describe the key results of the research including conclusions and outcomes? | |
| 3. Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence? | |
| 4. Was the thesis topic, research significance, results/impact and outcomes communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience? | |
| 5. Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation - or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed? | |

Engagement and Communication

Score

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Did the oration make the audience want to know more? | |
| 2. Was the presenter careful not to trivialize or generalize their research? | |
| 3. Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research? | |
| 4. Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention? | |
| 5. Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance? | |

Comments:

Judge Signature: _____