## Location: Administration Building, RM AA102, Zoom

In Attendance: Dustin McNulty, Morey Burnham, Leticia Herrera, David Lawrimore, David Pearson, Wendi Ruchti, Justin Dolan Stover

Attendance by ZOOM: Tyler Burch, John Dudgeon, Amy Koplin, Elaine Nguyen, Tori Scharp, Jenny Semenza

Absent but excused: Fredi Giesler

**Ex Officio/Guests:** Michele Brumley

Recording Secretary: Lisa Hunt

## Welcome Research Council: Dustin McNulty

Items	Presenting	Comments	Information/Action Item
Announcements:	McNulty		
ISGP proposals are due in 1 week			
Running list of Discussion Items:			
<ul> <li>Motion to allow a Post-Doc student to participate as a co-PI for an ISGP submission (Currently, PIs must be at the rank of Assistant Professor or greater)</li> </ul>	McNulty		ACTION – Justin Dolan Stover motioned to vote whether or not to allow Post-Doc students to contribute as co-PIs on an ISGP submission Wendy Ruchti seconded

## **ISU Research Council**

October 25, 2024 Official Minutes

			12 yes, 1 no, 0 abstention
<ul> <li>Review rubric for ISGP proposal reviews. Wendy Ruchti has put together a new, more detailed version of the rubric to discuss.</li> </ul>	McNulty/Ruchti	Three rubric versions were presented – discussion on changing the current rubric guidelines scoring defined with a 5 point anchor to a 4 point anchor. Discussed a previous version with a 7 point guideline. The rubric sets the PI's apart. In the past, the rubric hasn't been made public but may in future be submitted to a PI who asks for feedback.	ACTION – David Pearson motioned to vote on whether to change the current rubric from a 5 point to a 7 point Leticia Herrera seconded 11 yes, 0 no, 1 abstention
<ul> <li>Continuation of the Internal Seed Grant discussion about developing a Strategic Interdisciplinary Seed Funding Program or other alternative program to replace the current one.</li> </ul>	McNulty	ROI discussion of what part of the process to incentivize – either the proposal submission to get the award or what the award is used for to further research. Discussion of requiring PIs to work with a professional grant writer to follow through on grant awards or an alternative. Possible ideas to incentivize people to engage in research: course releases, professional grant writer assistance, change the parameters to make the grant more competitive etc. RC needs to clearly define 'buckets' as to what criteria will be accepted.	
Future Agenda Items:	McNulty		
Get ISGP review assignments!			

## **ISU Research Council**

October 25, 2024 Official Minutes

Follow up w/ Dave Harris – will give a summary		
as to what has been accomplished with grant		
awards		

Action Items:	Who will complete	Due date	Date completed
EAB will return to give a workshop	Brumley	TBD	

Adjournment: 2:59p